

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/775,835 Woo Sik Yoo M-8250 US 01/31/2001 1153 05/21/2003 7590 SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP **EXAMINER** 25 METRO DRIVE KILDAY, LISA A **SUITE 700** SAN JOSE CA, CA 95110

2829

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers		09/775,835		YOO, WOO SIK	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Th. 1111000000000000000000000000000000000	Lisa A Kilday		2829	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5
- External after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In Six (6) MONTHS f	66(a). In no event, ho within the statutory rill apply and will expi	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from the bacome ARANDONES	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this commun	ication.
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	<u>ebruary 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This	s action is non-	final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Estimation of Claims	nce except for Ex parte Quayle	formal matters, pro e, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	osecution as to the me 53 O.G. 213.	rits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from conside	eration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election require	ement.		
	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
.0/	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)∏ T	Applicant may not request that any objection to the character he proposed drawing correction filed oni	orawing(s) be ne	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
<i>,</i> —	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply			ed by the Examiner.	
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar		zuon.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	TIIITOT.			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	orioritus condess O		4.10	
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	monty under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).	
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	2012 baan			
2	<del></del>				
	= a sum a sepies of the phoney documents i				
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea et the attached detailed Office action for a list of	au (PCT Rule 1	17 2(a))	_	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p				ation)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisors Included the control of the foreign language provisors. The translation of the foreign language provisors is the control of the foreign language provisors. The translation of the foreign language provisors is the control of the foreign language provisors. The translation of the foreign language provisors is the control of the foreign language provisors is the foreign language provisors is the control of the contro	sional applicati	on has been receiv	ved.	anony.
Attachment(	s)	-	VV W	• •	
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:	TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)	_ ·
6. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		n Summary		Part of Paper No. 13	<del></del>

Art Unit: 2829

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-10 amended to overcome rejections. Rejections withdrawn for the 112 ¶1 & ¶2 for claims 1-10 on the "partial pressure" issue. However, applicant should note that all gases have a partial pressure relative to the pressure of the internal environment. The partial pressure of these gases is always less than the total pressure.

Claim 14 amended to overcome 112 ¶2 rejections. Rejections withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In independent claims 1, 11, 14, it is unclear whether the processing temperature is that of the furnace or that of the wafer. In the former case, claims 1, 11, 14 omit essential steps, such as omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP §2172.01. The omitted steps are: keeping the temperature of furnace at steady-state processing temperature while removing the wafer from the chamber. It is unclear whether the furnace remains at the steady-state processing temperature or if the wafer remains at that temperature. To apply art, the examiner assumes that the temperature is of the wafer.

Applicant's representative failed to argue and thus overcome the 112¶2 rejection. The applicant was silent on what the temperature of the furnace is. Therefore, the examiner assumes that the temperature of the furnace is of the wafer.

**Art Unit: 2829** 

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wolf and Tauber, Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era, vol. 1-Process Technology: pp. 164-165, 169-178, 182-4, 194, and Wolf, vol. 2-Process Integration: pp. 331, 431, 434-5.

The processes taught by the applicant are known as LPCVD, SACVD, PECVD, and APCVD. Wolf and Tauber disclose the processing temperature (pg. 194), pressure (pg. 169), reactive (pp. 182-4,194) and inert gases (pg. 164).

In re claims 1 & 14 (and 17, 19-21), Wolf and Tauber discloses a method for forming a thin film on a semiconductor wafer comprising: heating a process chamber to a steady-state processing temperature; loading a semiconductor wafer (pg. 174 ¶ 4, pg. 172 lines 25-26) into said process chamber; introducing a reactive gas into said process chamber at a preselected pressure (pg. 165); and unloading the semiconductor wafer from said process chamber at said processing temperature (pg. 164 ¶ 1, fig 2, pg. 174 ¶ 4, pg. 175 ¶ 2, pg. 194 table 4; Wolf: vol. 2: pg. 331 § 5.4.1.3, pg. 431 lines 1-5, pg. 434 § 6.6.2.4).

Art Unit: 2829

In re claim 2 with limitations of claim 1 (and 15 with limitations of 14), Wolf and Tauber disclose that the temperature is between 800-1200°C (pg. 170 lines 23-24, pg. 183 table 2 & lines 19-24, pg. 194 table 4).

In re claim 3 with limitations of claim 1, Wolf and Tauber disclose that the temperature is 200-800°C (pg. 169 3<sup>rd</sup> ¶, pg. 170 lines 23-25, pg. 183 table 2 & lines 19-24, pg. 194 table 4).

In re claim 4 with limitations of claim 1, Wolf and Tauber discloses that introducing said reactive gas includes introducing an inert gas, wherein said molecular ratio between said reactive gas and said inert gas causes said reactive gas to be at said preselected pressure (pg. 182 lines 30-36, pg. 183 table 2 & lines 19-24, pg. 194 table 4).

In re claim 5 with limitations of claims 4 and 1 (in re claim 15 with limitations of claim 14), Wolf and Tauber disclose that the pressure of the reactive gas is 0.1-760 Torr (pg. 170 lines 2-3, pg. 173 line 15, pg. 178 lines 1-20 & fig. 12).

In re claim 6 with limitations of claims 4 and 1, Wolf and Tauber discloses that the inert gases consist of Ar, He, and N2 (pg. 164 lines 5-10, pg. 194 table 4, eqn. 10, & lines 1-21).

In re claim 7 with limitations of claim 1, Wolf and Tauber discloses that the pressure of reactive gas is 0.1-760 Torr (pg. 165 lines 9-11, pg. 169 lines 23-25, pg. 170 lines 1-3, pg. 173 lines 14-16, pg. 183 lines 19-20, pg. 184 lines 39-41, pg. 194 lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2829

In re claim 8 with the limitations of claim 1, Wolf and Tauber disclose that the partial pressure of said process chamber is 0.1-760 Torr (pg. 165 lines 9-11, pg. 169 lines 23-25, pg. 170 lines 1-3, pg. 173 lines 14-16).

In re claim 9 with limitations of claim 1 (in re claim 18 with limitations of claim 14), Wolf and Tauber disclose that the reactive gas consists of O2, NH3, TaETO, NO, N20, and H2O (pg. 183 table 2 & lines 17-32, pg. 184 lines 1-44, pg. 194 table 4 & lines 1-21; Wolf - vol. 2: pg. 434 § 6.6.2.4 - pg. 435 lines 1-19).

In re claim 10 with the limitations of claim 1, Wolf and Tauber disclose diluting said reactive gas with N2 to reduce the pressure (pg. 164 lines 8-9).

In re claim 11, Wolf and Tauber discloses a method for forming a thin film on a wafer by heating a process chamber to a steady-state processing temperature; loading a semiconductor wafer into a chamber, said process chamber being under vacuum pressure; introducing a process gas under a pressure into said process chamber; and removing said semiconductor wafer from said process chamber while said process chamber is under vacuum pressure (pg. 164 ¶ 1, fig 2, pg. 174 ¶ 4, pg. 175 ¶ 2, pg. 194 table 4).

In re claim 12 and 13, it has been held that to be entitled to weight in method claims, the recited structure limitations therein must affect the method in a manipulative sense, and not amount to the mere claiming of a use of a particular structure. *Ex parte Pfeiffer*, 1962, C.D. 408 (1961).

# Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant's arguments filed 2/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's representative asserts that Wolf fails to teach, "adjusting said first partial pressure to a second partial pressure." Applicant's reasoning is wrong for the following reasons. First, Wolf discloses a reaction chamber with a mass-flow controller. Second, Wolf discloses a method that "monitor [sic] and dispense the gases." Third, Wolf discloses a mass flow meter that determines the flow point, compares to a set point value, and "adjust flow to specified value." (Vol. 1: pg. 165, lines 34-40).

The method of Wolf teaches adjusting the pressure of gases in a chamber, which is <u>inherently</u> teaching adjusting the first partial pressure to a second partial pressure because according to Dalton's law, the total pressure exerted in a process chamber is the sum of the pressures that each gas would exert if it were alone (vol. 1 on pp. 164-5, 169). Therefore if the first partial pressure of a gas is adjusted, the remaining partial pressure of gas is adjusted.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2829

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0957. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Kilday whose telephone number is (703) 306-5728. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo, can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax number for the group is (703) 305-3432. MPEP 502.01 contains instructions regarding procedures used in submitting responses by facsimile transmission.

Lisa Kilday

LAK

5/09/03

CHAMAND CUNEO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800